

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

GEORGE G. PROAKIS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION STAFF

SARAH LEWIS, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING
SARAH WHITE, PLANNER/PRESERVATION PLANNER
ALEX MELLO, PLANNER
MONIQUE BALDWIN, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

Case #: ZBA 2018-82-R1-2-19

Date: March 20, 2019 **Recommendation:**

Special Permit FAR: Conditional approval Revise Condition #10 of Previous Special Permit: Denial

PLANNING STAFF REPORT

Site: 80 Powder House Boulevard

Applicant / Owner Name: David J. Giller

Applicant / Owner Address: 59 Walpole St, #103,

Canton, MA 02021

Agent Name: Adam Dash, ESQ

Agent Address: 48 Grove Street, Suite 304,

Somerville, MA 02144

Alderman: Katjana Ballantyne

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant and Owner, David J. Giller, seeks a Special Permit under §4.4.1 of the SZO to increase the non-conforming FAR from 1.04 to 1.23 by finishing the basement. Applicant/Owner also seeks a Revision to a



Special Permit under §5.3.8 of the SZO as follows: revise Condition 10 of the prior ZBA decision restricting the property to two parking spaces as the Applicant wants to add a third parking space, so there would be two side-by-side spaces and one tandem space. RA zone. Ward 7.

Dates of Public Hearing: Zoning Board of Appeals – March 20, 2019

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. <u>Subject Property:</u> The locus presents a 2 ¾-story residential structure sited on a corner lot. The locus contains 4,156 square feet of land and the two-family structure presents 5,636 square feet of living space. There are two existing, non-conforming parking spaces on the site, one per residential unit. The basement is currently unfinished.



Page 2 of 7

Date: March 20, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2018-82-R1-2-19 Site: 80 Powder House Boulevard

2. **Proposal:** On August 8, 2018, the Applicant was granted a Special Permit by the ZBA to construct a second-story rear deck within the left side yard setback. The Applicant is now before the ZBA with two requests:

- a. increase the non-conforming FAR from 1.04 to 1.23 by finishing the basement
- b. remove condition #10 from the previously-granted special permit in order to add a third parking space to the site

3. **Green Building Practices:**

The application states that there will be Energy Star appliances.

II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1 & §5.3.8):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The non-conformity impacted by the Applicant's proposal is the non-conforming FAR. The FAR is currently non-conforming at 1.04. The Applicant proposes finishing the basement and thus increasing the FAR to 1.23. As indicated in §8.5 of the SZO, in the RA zone, the FAR limit is .75. The proposal to further increase the non-conforming FAR requires a Special Permit (a discretionary permit) from the ZBA.

Section 4.4.1 states that "[l]awfully existing one-and two-family dwellings which are only used as residences, which are nonconforming with respect to dimensional requirements, may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered by special permit granted by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5."

In considering a special permit under §4.4.1 of the SZO, Staff finds that the alterations proposed by increasing the FAR would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The proposal to increase the FAR is a reasonable request in order to allow for future occupants of the first-story unit to have more usable interior space.

FAR (§4.4.1)

As regards FAR, at 1.04, the property is already significantly over the FAR limit of .75 for the RA zone. As stated in other parts of this report, with the exception of exterior stairs, areaways, or window wells as means of egress, finishing a basement has little-to-no negative impact on the site or the surrounding neighborhood. With limited exception, the visibility of the increased FAR is apparent only on the interior of the structure.

Page 3 of 7

Date: March 20, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2018-82-R1-2-19 Site: 80 Powder House Boulevard

Revise Special Permit (original Condition #10) (§5.3.8)

<u>History of parking proposals on this site</u>: When the Applicant submitted their original request in the summer of 2018 to add a second-story deck within the left side yard setback, the Applicant also proposed adding two additional parking spaces to the site and extending the curb cut in order to accommodate the vehicular access to the parking area.

Planning Staff was very clear with the Applicant at the time that it would not support a total of four (4.0) parking spaces on the site for two residential units. This is well beyond the number of parking spaces that the ZBA approves on a per-unit basis.

Further, the proposed new spaces would not have facilitated the easy maneuverability of parked vehicles when exiting/entering the property (see the green highlighted area in condition #9 below; this area was originally proposed to contain two additional parking spaces under the original summer, 2018 proposal). Moreover, the increase in parking spaces would have also dedicated the entire rear yard to parking, leaving no green space on this part of the parcel.

Additionally, in order to accommodate the added parking spaces, the curb cut would need to be extended. Planning Staff and its ISD counterpart were very clear with the Applicant that a widening of the curb cut would not be permitted. In order to widen a curb cut in the City of Somerville, three departments must unanimously agree to widen the cut: Engineering, Highways, and ISD/Planning. Each department has different criteria for approving or denying the widening of a curb cut, but all three must come to the independent conclusion that the curb cut should be allowed to be extended. In the case of 80 Powder House Boulevard, ISD/Planning did not agree to the widening of the curb cut. Doing so would have increased the potential for conflict between pedestrian and vehicular traffic along this street at the intersection with a busy thoroughfare. Because all three departments did not agree to widening the curb cut, the extension of the curb cut was denied.

Condition #9 was included in the original ZBA decision ensuring that land area originally slated for parking spaces would be turned into meaningful landscaping. Condition #10 was included in the original ZBA decision ensuring that the property was limited to the two (2.0) pre-existing, non-conforming parking spaces.

Revision request 2019: The Applicant has returned to the ZBA to remove Condition #10 from the Special Permit approval. As-proposed as seen on the site plan, this additional parking space would require a vehicle to <u>drive over</u> the curb in order to access the space. This is not permitted under any circumstances.

Both ISD/Planning stand by their original determination from the summer of 2018 that the curb cut shall not be extended due to the increased potential for conflict between pedestrian and vehicular traffic along this street. In addition, widening the curb cut would eliminate usable space available for on-street parking which the City is unwilling to see removed. Planning Staff does not support the widening of the curb cut nor removing Condition #10 in order to allow a third on-site parking space.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

Page 4 of 7 Date: March 20, 2019

Case #: ZBA 2018-82-R1-2-19 Site: 80 Powder House Boulevard

Staff finds that the proposal to increase the FAR is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to provide for and maintain the uniquely integrated structure of uses in the City; to lessen congestion in the streets; to protect health; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers; to provide adequate light and air; to prevent the overcrowding of land; to avoid undue concentration of population; to conserve the value of land and buildings; to adequately protect the natural environment; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to preserve and increase the amenities of the municipality.

Staff also finds that the increase in the FAR is consistent with the purpose of the RA district, which is, "[t]o establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

<u>Surrounding Neighborhood</u>: With the exception of exterior stairs or window wells for emergency egress purposes, the impacts of finishing a basement in order to create additional living space are on the interior of the structure. The basement cannot be used as a third unit or short- or long-term rental (see Conditions), therefore Staff finds that the proposed FAR increase will have no impact on the surrounding neighborhood from a visual or usability standpoint.

<u>Impacts of Proposal (Design and Compatibility</u>): Staff's position regarding design and compatibility echo those stated immediately above in the "Surrounding Neighborhood" discussion.

7. **Housing Impact:**

Will not add to the City's stock of affordable housing.

8. SomerVision Plan:

Finishing the basement will have no meaningful impact on the SomerVision plan, but will allow for more usable interior space for the occupants of one of the units on the site.

III. RECOMMENDATION

Special Permit under §4.4.1 and Revision to Special Permit under §5.3.8

Based on the materials submitted by the Applicant, the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends:

- CONDITIONAL APPROVAL of the requested SPECIAL PERMIT to increase the FAR (§4.4.1) and
- **DENIAL** of the **REVISION TO THE SPECIAL PERMIT** under §5.3.8 to remove Condition #10 which limited on-site parking to the two existing, non-conforming spaces.

Page 5 of 7

Date: March 20, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2018-82-R1-2-19 Site: 80 Powder House Boulevard

The recommendation is based upon a technical analysis by Planning Staff of the application material based upon the required findings of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance, and is based only upon information submitted prior to the public hearing. This report may be revised or updated with new recommendations, findings and/or conditions based upon additional information provided to the Planning Staff during the public hearing process.

| # | Condition | | Timeframe for Compliance | Verified (initial) | Notes |
|------|--|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | Approval is ONLY to increase the FAR by finishing the basement. NO additional on-site parking spaces shall be provided beyond the two existing spaces. All previous conditions from the August 8, 2018 special permit approvals stand and are included below along with additional conditions. | | BP/CO | ISD/Pln g. | |
| 1 | Date (Stamp Date) | Submission | | | |
| | February 13, 2019 | Application to revise previously-awarded special permit submitted to City Clerk's Office | | | |
| | Any changes to the approved <i>minimis</i> must receive SPGA a | | | | |
| Con | struction Impacts | | | | |
| 2 | The applicant shall post the name and phone number of the general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to people passing by. | | During Construction | Plng. | |
| 3 | All construction materials, construction equipment and construction-related shall be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained. Permits must be obtained for all dumpsters. | | During Construction | T&P | |
| 4 | Construction shall occur from 7:30am – 5:00pm Monday-Friday ONLY. There shall be no construction or construction-related work allowed on the weekends or holidays. | | During Construction | ISD | |
| Des | Design | | | | |
| 5 | Applicant shall provide final material samples for siding, trim, windows, and doors to Planning Staff for review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit. Vinyl siding, decking, and railings shall not be permitted | | BP | Plng./IS D | |
| Site | | | | ran / | |
| 6 | The property shall be re-lands shall be submitted to Planning approval prior to the installati and number of plantings and pincluded in the landscaping pl | on of any landscaping. Type path materials shall be | Prior to installation/ CO | ISD / Plng. | |

Page 6 of 7

Date: March 20, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2018-82-R1-2-19 Site: 80 Powder House Boulevard

| 7 | All bituminous material shall be removed from the property. Pervious material shall be installed in the location where the two existing, non-conforming, tandem parking spaces are currently located at the rear of the property. Pervious material may include pervious pavers, pea stone or similar. Proposed materials shall be submitted to Planning Staff for their review and approval prior to installation | Prior to installation/ CO | ISD / Plng. |
|-----|--|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 8 | All garbage and recycling areas shall be screened from public view. Screening materials shall be submitted to Planning Staff for their review and approval prior to installation. Location of garbage and recycling areas shall be indicated on the landscaping plan. | Prior to installation/ CO | ISD / Plng. |
| 9 | The areas indicated on the site plan in green shall be landscaped. Type and number of plantings for this area shall be included in the landscaping plan noted in Condition #6 above. | CO/Perpetua 1 | ISD/Pln g |
| 10 | This property shall be limited to two (2) parking spaces (standard and or compact). | CO/Perpetua | ISD/Pln g |
| Eng | ineering | | |
| 11 | The Applicant shall comply with the: "Policy for new connections to and modifications to existing connections to the municipal sewer and drainage system stormwater management and infiltration/inflow mitigation." The Applicant shall work with Engineering to meet this condition and provide the required fees/mitigation. | ВР | Eng. |

Page 7 of 7

Date: March 20, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2018-82-R1-2-19 Site: 80 Powder House Boulevard

| 12 | The proposed basement finished floor elevation shall not be less than is 1 foot above the Seasonal High Ground Water elevation. The seasonal high ground water elevation shall be determined by a Massachusetts certified soil evaluator and stated on a signed soil test pit log. | BP | Eng. | | |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Mis | cellaneous | | | | |
| 13 | Granting of the applied for use or alteration does <u>not</u> include the provision for short term rental uses, such as AirBnB, VRBO, or the like. Separate approvals are needed for the aforementioned uses. | Perpetual | ISD / Plng. | | |
| 14 | The finished basement area shall be prohibited from being converted to an additional living unit. | Perpetual | ISD/Pln g | | |
| 15 | The Applicant shall at their expense replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc.) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard. | СО | ISD/DPW | | |
| Pub | lic Safety | | | | |
| 16 | The Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements shall be met. | CO | FP | | |
| 17 | The existing chain-link fence shall be removed from the perimeter of the property. If a new fence or plantings are installed at along the property along the Powder House/Mason Street frontages, they shall be no taller than 3 ½-feet per City regulations. | CO/Perpetua 1 | ISD / Plng. | | |
| 18 | Per Somerville fire safety regulations, grills, barbecues, chimineas and the like are NOT permitted on decks or porches. | Perpetual | FP/ISD | | |
| 19 | All exterior lighting must be confined to the subject property, cast light downward and must not intrude, interfere or spill onto neighboring properties. | СО | Plng. | | |
| Fina | Final Sign-Off | | | | |
| 20 | The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval. | Final sign off | Plng. | | |



CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIRMAN RICHARD ROSSETTI, CLERK DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO JOSH SAFDIE ANNE BROCKELMAN, (ALT.) POOJA PHALTANKAR, (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2018-82 Site: 80 Powder House Blvd Date of Decision: August 8, 2018

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk:** August 22, 2018

ZBA DECISION

Applicant / Owner Name: David J. Giller

Applicant / Owner Address: 59 Walpole St, 103, Canton, MA 02021

Alderman: Katjana Ballantyne

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant and Owner, David J. Giller, seeks a special permit to add a second story deck within the left side yard setback under SZO §4.4.1. RA zone. Ward 7.

Zoning District/Ward: RA zone. Ward 7.

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:June 12, 2018Date(s) of Public Hearing:August 8, 2018Date of Decision:August 8, 2018

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2018-92 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals in the Aldermanic Chambers, Somerville City Hall, 93 Highland Ave, Somerville, MA. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. On August 8, 2018, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



I. DESCRIPTION:

The Applicant is doing a gut renovation of the property. The property will remain a two-family and the total number of bedrooms will decrease from eight to seven. Two non-conforming tandem parking spaces shall remain. The Applicant proposes adding a second-story open deck over an existing first floor deck. The proposal creates an upward extension of an existing, non-conforming left side yard setback, triggering the need for special permit relief.

II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The non-conformity impacted by the Applicant's proposal is the left side yard setback (facing the property from Powder House Boulevard). As indicated in §8.5 of the SZO, in the RA zone, a minimum side yard setback of 8 feet is required. The Applicant proposes to construct a second-story open deck above an existing first-story open deck on the rear elevation of the building. The existing deck rests three (3) feet from the left side yard setback. The proposal to create an upward extension of this non-conformity requires a Special Permit (a discretionary permit) from the ZBA.

Section 4.4.1 states that "[l]awfully existing one-and two-family dwellings which are only used as residences, which are nonconforming with respect to dimensional requirements, may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered by special permit granted by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5."

In considering a special permit under §4.4.1 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The proposal is a reasonable request in order to allow for future occupants of the second story unit to have access to outdoor space in a densely-built urban environment.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The Board finds that the proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to provide for and maintain the uniquely integrated structure of uses in the City; to lessen congestion in the streets; to protect health; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers; to provide adequate light and air; to prevent the overcrowding of land; to avoid undue concentration of



Date: August 22, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2018-82 Site: 80 Powder House Blvd

population; to conserve the value of land and buildings; to adequately protect the natural environment; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to preserve and increase the amenities of the municipality.

The Board also finds that the proposal is consistent with the purpose of the RA district, which is, "[t]o establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project ''(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

<u>Surrounding Neighborhood</u>: There are numerous other "modified gambrel"-style houses in the immediate vicinity of 80 Powder House Boulevard, particularly along Mason Street. Several of these structures contain rear decks of varying types: open, enclosed, covered.

<u>Impacts of Proposal (Design and Compatibility)</u>: The Board finds that the proposed open deck is designed to be well-proportioned in relation to the rear façade of the building. The proposed second-story deck will be only three feet from the property line. However, given how densely-built this West Somerville neighborhood is, the Board finds that the proposal is consistent with the proximity of other decks and porches in the area to their property lines.

- 5. **Housing Impact:** Will not add to the City's stock of affordable housing.
- 6. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> The overall project enhances an existing property in need of improvement. The specific deck proposal allows for unit occupants to have access to outdoor space in a densely built-out neighborhood.

DECISION:

Special Permit under §4.4.1

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Elaine Severino, Danielle Evans, Anne Brockelman, and Pooja Phaltankar. Upon making the above findings, Richard Rossetti made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Elaine Severino seconded the motion. The Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

| # | Condition | Timeframe for Compliance | Verified (initial) | Notes |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------|



| | Approval is to construct a second the building and three feet property line. | ond story open deck at the rear from the left side yard | BP/CO | ISD/Pln g. |
|------|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------|
| | Date (Stamp Date) | Submission | | |
| 1 | June 12, 2018 | Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office | | |
| | July 31, 2018 | Updated plot plan submitted to OSPCD | | |
| | August 1, 2018 | Corrected plot plan submitted to OSPCD | | |
| | Any changes to the approved <i>minimis</i> must receive SPGA a | | | |
| Cor | struction Impacts | | | |
| 2 | The applicant shall post the na general contractor at the site e people passing by. | | During Construction | Plng. |
| 3 | All construction materials, corconstruction-related shall be sthe street layout is required, suconformance with the required Uniform Traffic Control Devithe Traffic and Parking Depar Permits must be obtained for a | tored onsite. If occupancy of uch occupancy must be in ments of the Manual on ces and the prior approval of tment must be obtained. | During Construction | T&P |
| 4 | Construction shall occur from 7:30am – 5:00pm Monday-Friday ONLY. There shall be no construction or construction-related work allowed on the weekends or holidays. | | During Construction | ISD |
| Des | | | | I. |
| 5 | Applicant shall provide final material samples for siding, trim, windows, and doors to Planning Staff for review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit. Vinyl siding, decking, and railings shall not be permitted | | BP | Plng./IS D |
| Site | | | 1 | |
| 6 | The property shall be re-lands shall be submitted to Planning approval prior to the installati and number of plantings and princluded in the landscaping plantings. | on of any landscaping. Type bath materials shall be | Prior to installation/ CO | ISD / Plng. |
| 7 | | the property. Pervious pavers, pea stone or similar. Ibmitted to Planning Staff for | Prior to installation/ CO | ISD / Plng. |



| 8 | All garbage and recycling areas shall be screened from public view. Screening materials shall be submitted to Planning Staff for their review and approval prior to installation. Location of garbage and recycling areas shall be indicated on the landscaping plan. | Prior to installation/ CO | ISD / Plng. | | |
|-----|---|---------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 9 | The areas indicated on the site plan in green shall be landscaped. Type and number of plantings for this area shall be included in the landscaping plan noted in Condition #6 above. | CO/Perpetua 1 | ISD/Pln g | | |
| 10 | This property shall be limited to two (2) parking spaces (standard and or compact). | CO/Perpetua | ISD/Pln g | | |
| Mis | Miscellaneous | | | | |
| 11 | Granting of the applied for use or alteration does <u>not</u> include the provision for short term rental uses, such as AirBnB, VRBO, or the like. Separate approvals are needed for the aforementioned uses. | Perpetual | ISD / Plng. | | |
| | lic Safety | | | | |
| 12 | The Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements shall be met. | СО | FP | | |
| 13 | The existing chain-link fence shall be removed from the perimeter of the property. If a new fence or plantings are installed at along the property along the Powder House/Mason Street frontages, they shall be no taller than 3 ½-feet per City regulations. | CO/Perpetua 1 | ISD / Plng. | | |
| 14 | Per Somerville fire safety regulations, grills, barbecues, chimineas and the like are NOT permitted on decks or porches. | Perpetual | FP/ISD | | |



Page 6

Date: August 22, 2018
Case #: ZBA 2018-82

Case #: ZBA 2018-82 Site: 80 Powder House Blvd

| 15 | All exterior lighting must be confined to the subject property, cast light downward and must not intrude, | CO | Plng. | |
|------|---|------------|-------|--|
| | interfere or spill onto neighboring properties. | | | |
| Fina | al Sign-Off | | | |
| | The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five | Final sign | Plng. | |
| | working days in advance of a request for a final inspection | off | | |
| 16 | by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was | | | |
| | constructed in accordance with the plans and information | | | |
| | submitted and the conditions attached to this approval. | | | |



Date: August 22, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2018-82 Site: 80 Powder House Blvd

| Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals: | Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Chairman</i> Richard Rossetti, <i>Clerk</i> Elaine Severino Daniel Evans Anne Brockelman (<i>Alt.</i>) |
|---|---|
| Attest, by City Planner: Sarah White | |
| Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept. | |
| CLERK'S CERTIFICATE | |
| Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty day City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 | |
| In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance sh certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed a Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal h recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of | after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is lexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner |
| Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special pearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certifical appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reunder the permit may be ordered undone. | have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is lexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner ate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly |
| The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or re Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed wi and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to recorded. | ith any project favorably decided upon by this decision |
| This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the any appeals that were filed have been finally dismission. | e City Clerk, or |
| FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the there has been an appeal filed. | : City Clerk, or |



Signed__

City Clerk

Date___